

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/568,942	Applicant(s) HELARD ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL R. NEFF	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL R. NEFF.

(2) David D. Brush.

Date of Interview: 4 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
1, 17

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MICHAEL R. NEFF/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611

Status of Application: allowable

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Examiner proposed amendments to the claims to place in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative noted that all changes would have to be further approved by foreign representatives, but could not be reached prior to submission of office action..